

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION N	O. I	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/675,029		09/28/2000	Ricardo I. Fuentes	11828/1	7682
26646	7590	09/16/2004		EXAMINER	
	N & KENY Dadway	YON	CULBERT, ROBERTS P		
	RK, NY I	0004		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				1763	
				DATE MAILED: 09/16/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

This action is FINAL. 2b)  This action is non-final.  3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  isposition of Claims  4) Claim(s) 1-27 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) Claim(s) 1-27 is/are rejected.  7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) Claim(s) 1-27 is/are rejected.  7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) Claim(s) is/are objected to by the Examiner.  10) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  riority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Corified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.		Application No.	Applicant(s)
- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address —  Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM  THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Estatemisor of term shale avaisable under the provisions of 37 CR 1.158(a). In no evert, however, may a reply be limitely filed  Estatemisor of term shale to reside under the provisions of 37 CR 1.158(a). In no evert, however, may a reply be limitely filed  Estatemisor of temple a specified shallowing date of the communication.  If the pended for reply ready applied is the shallow period all against precised all against the shallow precised and accordance of the communication.  It is provided the shallow provided the shallow precised all against the shallow precised and accordance and shallow precised as the shallow precised and against the shallow precised and accordance with the practice under Exparte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  It is provided the shallow provided and shallow precised and shallow provided and shal		09/675,029	FUENTES, RICARDO I.
Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THEM MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Extensions of an empty be avoided under the provision of 37 cFt a 136(a), in no event, however, may a reply be limely filed after 50 kt of MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  Extensions of an empty be avoided under the provision of 37 cFt a 136(a), in no event, however, may a reply be limely filed after 50 kt of MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  Extensions of are may be avoided under the provision of 37 cFt a 136(a), in no event, however, may a reply be limely filed after 50 kt of MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication after the mailing of the communication and the second place of the communication and the communication and the communication and the communication, even if smelly filed, may reduce any avoid term department. See 37 cFt a 1,74(b).  Itatus  1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 August 2004.  2a) This action is FINAL.  2b) This action is filed on 20 August 2004.  2a) Claim(s) 1-27 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are ending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are allowed.  6b) Claim(s) 1-27 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are allowed.  6b) Claim(s) 1-27 is/are ending in the application.  4a) The proving of filed on is/are allowed.  6b) Claim(s) 1-27 is/are ending in the application and/or election requirement.  pplication Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are allowed.  6c) Claim(s) 1-27 is/are ending in the application of the drawing(s) be held in aboyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to See 37 CFR 1.12(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-15	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) for the mailing of the provision of 37 CFR 1.73(6), in no event, however, may a tighty be linely filled  - If NO period for reply is pecified above, the maximum distultory period will apply and will expire SIX (8) MONTHS from the mailing date of the communication.  - Failure to engly whath his soft occanned period period period will apply and will expire SIX (8) MONTHS from the mailing date of the communication.  - Failure to engly whath his soft occanned period period period will apply and will expire SIX (8) MONTHS from the mailing date of the communication.  - Failure to engly whath his soft occanned period period period will apply and will expire SIX (8) MONTHS from the mailing date of the communication.  - Failure to engly whath his soft occanned period period period will apply and will expire SIX (8) MONTHS from the mailing date of the communication.  - Failure to engly whath his soft occanned period period will apply and will expire SIX (8) MONTHS from the mailing date of the communication.  - Failure to engly whath his soft occanned period period will apply and will expire SIX (8) MONTHS from the mailing date of the communication.  - Status to engly whath his soft occanned period period will apply and any seriod will be communication.  - Status to engly with his soft occanned period will apply and any seriod will apply any serio			1
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Extensions of time may be available under the provision of 37 CFR 1.136(a), in no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after 5X (b) MCN**This from the mailing date of this communication.  If the provision of the provision of the communication of	The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	n appears on the cover sheet w	rith the correspondence address
This action is FINAL. 2b)  This action is non-final.  3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  isposition of Claims  4) Claim(s) 1-27 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) Claim(s) 1-27 is/are rejected.  7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) Claim(s) 1-27 is/are rejected.  7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) Claim(s) is/are objected to by the Examiner.  10) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  riority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Corified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	HE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATI     Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication of the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, of the provided for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory provided to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a on. a reply within the statutory minimum of thi eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI statute. Cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed  rly (30) days will be considered timely.  NTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
2a)  This action is FINAL. 2b)  This action is non-final.  3)  Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  isposition of Claims  4)  Claim(s) 1-27 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5)  Claim(s) 1-27 is/are rejected.  7)  Claim(s) 1-27 is/are rejected.  7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8)  Claim(s) 1-27 is/are objected to.  8)  Claim(s) 1-27 is/are rejected.  7)  The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10)  The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  **Tority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * C) None of:  1.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3.  Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	Status		
2a)  This action is FINAL. 2b)  This action is non-final.  3)  Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  isposition of Claims  4)  Claim(s) 1-27 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5)  Claim(s) 1-27 is/are rejected.  7)  Claim(s) 1-27 is/are rejected.  7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8)  Claim(s) 1-27 is/are objected to.  8)  Claim(s) 1-27 is/are rejected.  7)  The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10)  The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  **Tority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * C) None of:  1.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3.  Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	20 August 2004.	
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	= -		
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	3)☐ Since this application is in condition for all	owance except for formal mat	ters, prosecution as to the merits is
4) ∑ Claim(s) 1-27 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ∑ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  pplication Papers  9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  **riority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.    Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	closed in accordance with the practice und	der <i>Ex par</i> te <i>Quayl</i> e, 1935 C.[	). 11, 453 O.G. 213.
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5)	Disposition of Claims		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5)	4) Claim(s) 1-27 is/are pending in the applica	ation.	
5			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to restriction and/or election requirement.  pplication Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  riority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.    All Discrete Copies of References Cited (PTO-892)		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
## Solution   Bolication   Bol	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-27</u> is/are rejected.		
pplication Papers  9	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		•
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Indication under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	nd/or election requirement.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	Application Papers		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	9) The specification is objected to by the Evan	niner	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  riority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.			hy the Evaminer
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  riority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  riority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  achment(s)  Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  * Interview Summary (PTO-413)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date.  Paper No(s)/Mail Date.			
riority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  achment(s)  Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  * Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date.  Paper No(s)/Mail Date.  Paper No(s)/Mail Date.  Paper No(s)/Mail Date.	11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	e Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  achment(s)  Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date			
a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  achment(s)  Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  All Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date		eign priority under 35 H.S.C. &	110(a) (d) as (f)
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  achment(s)  Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  1. Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date		orgin priority under 55 0.5.C. g	119(a)-(d) or (1).
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  achment(s)  Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	•	ents have been received.	·
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.    Achment(s)			oplication No
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  achment(s)  Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  * Junterview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	3. Copies of the certified copies of the	priority documents have been	received in this National Stage
achment(s)  Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	application from the International Bu	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date.	* See the attached detailed Office action for a	list of the certified copies not	received.
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date.			
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date.	Mark-1994		
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date.	<u> </u>	🗂 .	
	Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s	ummary (PTO-413) )/Mail Date.
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) ☐ Other:	Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB	(08) 5) Notice of In	formal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Art Unit: 1763

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 8/20/04 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant has argued, "the combination of Britten and Moffat does not disclose, or even suggest, a fluid meniscus process that includes the step pf moving the object and the fluid meniscus relative to each other, wherein the object and the fluid meniscus are capable of motion relative to each other in two or more directions and of operatively contacting each other when moved relative to each other in two or more directions, as recited in claim 1."

The argument is not persuasive because as shown in Figure 1, since the object (26) is not fixed to the apparatus (8) that holds the fluid meniscus, the object and meniscus are clearly <u>capable</u> of moving relative to each other in at least the six coordinate directions (x, y, z, -x, -y, -z) while operatively contacting the fluid meniscus. The word "operatively" as recited in the rejected claim may be broadly interpreted to mean simply producing an effect, exerting a force or influence, or quite simply having to do with a physical operation. There is nothing in the amended claim language that distinguishes over the type of contact shown in figure 1 of Britten.

Further, since no specific orientation is given for the object (26) in the invention of Britten, it is clear that the object could be oriented in any direction as long as a flat surface of the object to be etched is first moved over the etch fluid then the rinsing and drying fluids. For example, the object (26) could be rotated 180 degrees about a vertical axis before passing over the device of Britten. In this way, the object would have been moved in a different direction relative to the meniscus while performing the process exactly as shown in figure 1.

In addition, Britten clearly illustrates in Figure 2 that the meniscus and object are not only capable of, but do in fact move relative to each other in two or more directions.

Moreover, since no vertical distance is specified between the object and the top of the tank shown in figure 1 of Britten, the object is capable of moving vertically while being contacted by the meniscus within a range defined by the height of the meniscus above the tank.

Art Unit: 1763

Applicant has further argued, "Britten only describes that the substrate is moved relative to the fluid meniscus in a single direction...Britten does not even describe that the object and the fluid meniscus are capable of motion relative to each other in two or more directions and of operatively contacting each other when moved relative to each other in two or more directions."

The argument is not persuasive because Britten illustrates in Figure 1 that the substrate is not fixed to the substrate. Therefore the object and meniscus are inherently capable of moving relative to each other in more than one direction. Britten also clearly illustrates in Figure 2 that the meniscus and object are not only capable of, but do in fact move relative to each other in two or more directions.

Applicant has further argued, "...the device of Britten will not operate if the substrate and the fluid meniscus are moved in any direction other than the direction shown by the arrow in Figure 1."

The argument is not persuasive because the claim only recites what the motion the substrate and fluid are capable of. Further, as stated above, Britten does not specify the specific orientation of the substrate and the invention would clearly operate as intended if the substrate were merely rotated before processing. Moreover, Figure 2 clearly shows motion of the meniscus relative to the substrate in two or more directions which reads on the invention of applicant as broadly claimed.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-19 and 23-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S Patent 5,660,642 to Britten in view of U.S. Patent 5,171,393 to Moffat.

Art Unit: 1763

Britten teaches a method for wet etching a substrate by contacting the substrate with the meniscus of a liquid etchant. Referring to Figure 1, Britten shows a processing applicator (10) containing a processing fluid (12). The processing fluid may be a liquid etchant. See Abstract and (Col. 2, Lines 41-50) and (Col. 4, Lines 32-34). The etchant meniscus is contacted with the substrate (26) (Col 3, Lines 18-25). The fluid meniscus is formed above the edges of the holding tank (15). See Figure 1. The holding tank has at least one channel to hold the fluid, and at least one overflow channel. See Figure 1. The liquid etchant is injected into the holding tank (15) via pump (28). The substrate is removed after contact with the fluid meniscus for rinsing and drying. The substrate may have a protective material layer such as a photoresist (Col. 4, Line 33). Britten teaches moving the substrate relative to the holding tank (Col. 2, Lines 21-25) as well as moving the tank relative to the substrate (Col. 3, Lines 23-26). Britten teaches drying by evaporation, but also shows a gas current such as forced air (dry compressed air) may be applied to the substrate (Col. 4, Line 25). The substrate surface and the fluid meniscus are inherently capable of motion relative to each other in two or more directions and operatively contacting each other when moved in two or more directions since the substrate is not fixed to the assembly (8) as shown in Figure 1. Moreover, Britten clearly illustrates in Figure 2 that the meniscus and object are not only capable of, but do in fact move relative to each other in two or more directions while operatively contacting each other.

Britten does not teach the use of a holding fixture for the substrate. However the use of a holding fixture for wet processing is well known in the etching art. Moffat teaches that a vacuum chuck is suitable for holding a substrate for subsequent wet processing steps (Col. 3, Lines 19-21). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to use a vacuum chuck to hold the substrate in order to facilitate wet processing as taught by Moffat. The vacuum chuck holder is interpreted by the examiner to be a "fluidic means" as broadly defined by applicant in claim 3.

Regarding claim 5, Britten shows that the solvent is re-circulated and replenished by use of a filter and pump. See Figure 1. Britten also teaches that it is known in the art to recycle and heat a solvent (Col. 1, lines 52-55). Heating is interpreted to be a form of agitation since claim 5 is not limited to the type

Art Unit: 1763

of agitation (i.e. mechanical, thermal). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to heat the solution in order to improve the etch rate.

Claims 20-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent 5,660,642 to Britten in view of U.S. Patent 5,171,393 to Moffat and U.S Patent 5,279,703 to Haberger.

As applied above, Britten in view of Moffat discloses the method of invention substantially as claimed, but does not teach the use of electromagnetic radiation. Haberger teaches a process for etching a substrate in which electromagnetic radiation is used to heat a substrate and improve the etch rate (Col. 4, Lines 65-68). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to irradiate the substrate in the well-known manner in order to heat the substrate and improve the etch rate as indicated by Haberger (Col. 4, Lines 6-10). The location of the energy source is not given any patentable weight because one of ordinary skill in the art would recognize that the energy source could be secured anywhere that permits the energy source to focus on the substrate.

#### Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Art Unit: 1763

Page 6

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should

be directed to Roberts Culbert whose telephone number is (571) 272-1433. The examiner can normally

be reached on Monday-Friday (7:30-4:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor,

Gregory Mills can be reached on (571) 272-1439. The fax phone number for the organization where this

application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application

Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from

either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through

Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC)

at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

R. Culbert A Cll.X